1	Judge Pechman	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
10	Plaintiff,	NO. CR05-317P
11	V. (	ORDER RESETTING TRIAL DATE
12	TAM VAN DANG and SANXAY XAYADETH,	ORDER RESELLING TRIVE DATE
13	Defendants. )	
14 15		)
16	THIS MATTER having come before	re the Court on the motion of defendant Sanxay
17	Xayadeth for a competency hearing and the responding motion of the United States for an	
18	Order For Psychiatric or Psychological Examination and Report for Defendant Sanxay	
19	Xayadeth, and the Court having reviewed the motions and heard the arguments of	
20	counsel, and having granted the Government's motion for a competency examination,	
21	the Court now finds and rules as follows:	
22	On August 31, 2005, defendants Tam Van Dang and Sanxay Xayadeth were	
23	indicted on a charge of conspiracy to distribute ecstasy, in violation of 21 U.S.C.	
24	§§ 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(C), and 846. Defendant Xayadeth also was charged with	
25	carrying a firearm during and in relation to	o a drug trafficking crime, in violation of 18

 $\S$  924(c). The defendants were arraigned on the charges on September 8, 2005, and trial

was scheduled to begin on November 7, 2005.

U.S.C.

26

On November 3, 2005, the Court granted the stipulated motion of the parties to continue the trial date, and entered an order continuing the trial to January 23, 2006 (docket #31).

On December 21, 2005, defendant Sanxay Xayadeth filed a motion requesting a competency hearing and an order finding him not competent to stand trial. The United States responded with a request for a Court-ordered psychiatric or psychological examination pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 4241(b).

The Court held a hearing on the motions on January 10, 2006. After considering the materials submitted to the Court and the arguments of counsel, the Court granted the Government's motion for a psychiatric or psychological examination and report. The Court determined that the matter could not proceed to trial as scheduled on January 23, 2006, as additional time would be required for the Court-ordered examination to be completed, a report prepared, and if required, a competency hearing to be held. Due to the need for additional time within which to determine defendant Xayadeth's mental competency and considering the conflicting schedules of counsel, the Court determined that a continuance of the trial date to May 15, 2006, was required.

The Court now finds that the period of time between January 23, 2006, and May 15, 2006, is excludable time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161, et seq. Any period of delay resulting from any proceeding, including any examinations, to determine the mental competency of a defendant is an excludable period of time under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(A). In addition, the Court finds that the continuance is necessary to ensure all counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and to ensure continuity of counsel for all parties. Therefore, the period of delay is an excludable period of time under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The Court further notes that on January 10, 2006, defendant Dang executed and filed a written waiver of his speedy trial rights through May 25, 2006.

Accordingly, the Court finds that the January 23, 2006, trial date shall be stricken and a new trial date of May 15, 2006, shall be set. It appearing to the Court that the

failure to reset the trial for May 15, 2006, would effectively deny all counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and further deny the parties continuity of counsel, and it further appearing 3 to the Court that the ends of justice served by continuing the trial date outweigh the 4 interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial, 5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial date shall be continued from Monday, 6 January 23, 2006, to Monday, May 15, 2006; and 7 //// 8 //// //// 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the period of delay from January 23, 2006, to		
2	May 15, 2006, is excludable time pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(A) and 18 U.S.C.		
3	§ 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv), for the purpose of computing the time limitations imposed by the		
4	Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161-3174.		
5	DATED this 17 <sup>th</sup> day of January, 2006.		
6			
7			
8	/S/Marsha J. Pechman MARSHA J. PECHMAN United States District Judge		
10	Office States District Judge		
11	Presented by:		
12			
13 14 15 16	s/ Leonie G.H. Grant LEONIE G.H. GRANT Assistant United States Attorney WSBA #12670 United States Attorney's Office 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271		
17 18	Telephone: 206-553-7970 Fax No.: 206-553-0755 E-Mail: Lee.Grant@usdoj.gov		
19			
220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227	S/ Catherine Chaney per authorization CATHERINE CHANEY Attorney for Defendant Tam Van Dang 119 First Avenue South, Suite 500 Seattle, Washington 98104-3400 Telephone: (206) 343-7642 Fax: (206) 621-1256 E-mail: cchaney@msn.com  S/ Nancy Tenney per authorization NANCY TENNEY Attorney for Defendant Sanxay Xayadeth 1601 Fifth Avenue, Suite 700 Seattle, Washington 98101 Telephone: (206) 553-1100 Fax: (206) 553-0120 E-mail: Nancy_Tenney@fd.org		
28			